UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/747,967	12/29/2003	Hamid Ould-Brahim	121-006 9017			
	7590 01/09/2007 S & MANARAS LLP		EXAMINER			
125 NAGOG PARK			SILVER,	SILVER, DAVID		
ACTON, MA 01720			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	·		2128			
SHORTENED STATISTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAN DATE				
SHOK TENED STATUTOR	1 PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
3 MO	NTHS	01/09/2007	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

-		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/747,967		OULD-BRAHIM, HAMID				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
	·	David Silver		2128				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover	r sheet with the co	orrespondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING INTERIOR OF THE MAILI	DATE OF THIS CO .136(a). In no event, howe I will apply and will expire te, cause the application to	OMMUNICATION ever, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed he mailing date of this o) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•						
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 I	November 2006.						
2a)⊠		s action is non-fina	al.					
3)								
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims	•			V			
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21 and 22</u> is/are pending in the application.							
<i>,</i> —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· —	☐ Claim(s) is/are anowed. ☐ Claim(s) <u>21 and 22</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·								
· ·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election require	ment.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er						
			d or b) objecte	ed to by the Exar	miner.			
,,	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-	-(d) or (f).				
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	, p	3 (,	(-) (-)				
,	1. Certified copies of the priority document	its have been rece	ived.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen			on No.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price				l Stage			
	application from the International Burea	•			· ·			
. * (See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	•		d.				
Attachmer	nt(e)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	41 🗆	Interview Summary ((PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	· _	Notice of Informal Pa Other:	atent Application				
rapt	er No(s)/Mail Date	о <u> </u>						

Application/Control Number: 10/747,967 Page 2

Art Unit: 2128

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-20 were originally presented for examination and rejected.

- 2. Claims 1-20 were cancelled and therefore withdrawn from consideration.
- 3. Claims 21-22 are currently pending in Instant Application.
- 4. The Instant Application is not currently in condition for allowance.

Priority

5. Priority is not claimed (12/29/03).

Response to Arguments

6. The 35 USC §101, §112, §102, and §103 rejections have been mooted by Applicant's cancellation of all Previously Presented Claims.

Claim Interpretation

7. Limitations drawn to allowing, enabling or making optional a function's performance does not further limit a claim. As such, any prior art not explicitly prohibiting the performance of the function inherently anticipates the limitation. Additionally, limitations such as "adapted to", "adapted for", "configured to" do not further limit the claim because they do not necessitate the function or structure. See MPEP 2111.04.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 8. Claims 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to nonstatutory subject matter.
- 8.1 In this instance, absent an explicit and deliberate definition in the specification that the product includes an appropriate medium or hardware elements, the claims are directed to software, per se.
 Note exemplary claim 21 which recites only software elements. Additionally, software, per se, is not considered concrete.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/747,967 Page 3

Art Unit: 2128

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

 Claims 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zelig et al. (US 20040037279 A1).

Zelig discloses: 21. An intermediate node in a multihop pseudo-wire comprising:

a forwarder logical entity configured to: terminate a first pseudo-wire segment of said multihop pseudo-wire, where a description of said first pseudo-wire segment includes, as a target, an attachment individual identifier associated with said forwarder; originate a second pseudo-wire segment of said multihop pseudo-wire, where a description of said second pseudo-wire segment includes, as a source, said attachment individual identifier associated with said forwarder (forwarding logic ... Fig 1, 2 (item 34 "forwarding engine") and Figs' descriptions; individual identifier ... Fig 4 5 and their descriptions).

Zelig discloses: 22. An intermediate node in a multihop pseudo-wire comprising:

a first forwarder logical entity configured to terminate a first pseudo-wire segment of said multihop pseudo-wire, where a description of said first pseudo-wire segment includes, as a target, an attachment individual identifier associated with said first forwarder; and a second forwarder logical entity configured to originate a second pseudo-wire segment of said multihop pseudo-wire, where a description of said second pseudo-wire segment includes, as a source, an attachment individual identifier associated with said second forwarder (forwarding logic ... Fig 1, 2 (item 34 "forwarding engine") and Figs' descriptions; individual identifier ... Fig 4 5 and their descriptions; the figure has at least two routers, each of which has a first forwarder logic and second forwarder logic.).

Art Unit: 2128

10. Claims 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Anthony J. Li et al. (US 5,473,599).

Zelig discloses: 21. An intermediate node in a multihop pseudo-wire comprising:

a forwarder logical entity configured to: terminate a first pseudo-wire segment of said multihop pseudo-wire, where a description of said first pseudo-wire segment includes, as a target, an attachment individual identifier associated with said forwarder; originate a second pseudo-wire segment of said multihop pseudo-wire, where a description of said second pseudo-wire segment includes, as a source, said attachment individual identifier associated with said forwarder (col: 8 line: 19-23; col: 2 line: 16-30).

Zelig discloses: 22. An intermediate node in a multihop pseudo-wire comprising:

a first forwarder logical entity configured to terminate a first pseudo-wire segment of said multihop pseudo-wire, where a description of said first pseudo-wire segment includes, as a target, an attachment individual identifier associated with said first forwarder; and a second forwarder logical entity configured to originate a second pseudo-wire segment of said multihop pseudo-wire, where a description of said second pseudo-wire segment includes, as a source, an attachment individual identifier associated with said second forwarder (col: 8 line: 19-23; col: 2 line: 16-30).

Conclusion

- 11. All claims are rejected.
- 12. The Instant Application is not currently in condition for allowance.
- 13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/747,967 Page 5

Art Unit: 2128

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Silver whose telephone number is (571) 272-8634. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 10am to 6:30pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamini Shah can be reached on 571-272-2279. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David Silver Patent Examiner Art Unit 2128

> KAMINI SHAH KAMINI SHAH EXAMINER